## Approved For-Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP83T00578R000200240017-9

		STATINTL
MEMORANDUM FOR:	1 6	
	Orrice or General Counsel	STATINTL
FROM:		OTATIO
	EXECUTIVE OFFICER, ODP	OT A TINITI
SUBJECT:	Litigation Involving:	STATINTL
REFERENCE:	FOIA Request CA 80-003\$/ F79-0457 dtd 24 March 80	
		STATINTL
	fice of Data Processing has completed of under the name of	
	s not searched its files exhaus-	

ODP 0-368 2 7 MAR\* 1980

STATINTL

The "B" Team The "Plumbers" (or Watergate files) MEAP SALT I and SALT II.

tively but a cursory review did not find any

STATINTL

مد			

STATINTL

cc: IPD

O/D/ODP/ :ee/3-26-80

Distribution:

Orig - adse 1 - XRM IPD

documents relating to:

2 - ODP Registry

2 - O/D/ODP

Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP83T00573R000200240017-9

OGC 80-02381 21 March 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: Appeals Coordinator

Information and Privacy Division/DDA

FROM ral Counsel

> : New Developments in Litigation SUBJECT

Involving

STATINTL

STATINTL

 Several new developments have occurred with regard to the FOIA litigation brought by \_\_\_\_\_ widow of These developments require Agency employees working on this FOIA request to take a number of actions.

2. A status call has been established in this litigation. The scheduled status call date is April 14, 1980. It is likely that CIA will be required to make a number of representations to the court at this status call. These representations are set forth below:

a description of the status of the search of the Agency's records conducted under the name STATINTL of

STATIÑTL

an estimate of the timeframe required for completion of Agency records searches regarding

It is essential that these representations accurately reflect the time that will be required to complete any remaining records searches, document review, and intra-component coordination. Based on these representations, it is possible the court may establish a deadline for the submission of this Agency's justification of its reasons for withholding documents or portions of documents -- i.e., the requirement established in Vaughn v. Rosen. This requirement, as you well know, will serve as the basis for establishing an internal Agency deadline for the completion of each component's submission of records to OGC.

S <sup>-</sup>	irom eaci	n component wi e name of	th regard to t	he following information in their records search other or not their mpleted;	ion
	work die	a statement records coor	indicating whe	ther or not their been completed; and	
S	TATINTL	complete all review, sani	the actions n	quired (if any) to ecessary to locate, cessary) and coordin ecords found under	ate
STATIN	found in Agency arresponses	documents in by their com their files w	their possess ponentthat i hich were gene en returned to	urate estimate of the ion which have been s, excluding any docrated elsewhere in the originator. The	ilmum 🕈 o
STATIN STATINTI	that coundemand for the will a	death.  counsel  counsel  ttempt to fore	handling this has not hich he believe Although the agreed to a life CIA to search	y consulted the Uniter case and have been at relinquished his es are relevant to file indicates that imited search of CIA it now appears that ch records indexed ents relating to	edvised STATINTL
•		the "B" team	]		STATINT
		the "plumbers	" (or Watergat	ce files)	
	<del></del>	MEAP			
		Salt I and Sa	ilt II	STATIN'	TL
i,	position constitute	ttorney for <u> </u>	t-by-document nable search	respondence between Thile it will be our search of these recounder the FOIA and, by CIA in response to	rds (
	#1.1 () 4.				

STATINTL

request, it is imperative that I have a rairry crear idea of the number of documents involved. If the volume of documents that would be required to be searched under the topics cited above can be demonstrated, I am fairly confident that such a search will appear to be unreasonable. I cannot, however, make such a claim in the absence of a response from each component indicating the extent of their records holdings under these topics.

- 5. Accordingly, each component should advise me of the following, with respect to any records in their possession or custody flied under the seven topics listed above:
- the approximate volume of such records in their possession by topic-a statement such as "approximately 40 documents consisting of approximately 170 pages filed under the name of "approximately 500 documents consisting of approximately approximately 7,000 pages filed under Salt II," "no documents filed under any of the other topics indicated," would suffice. If any component has voluminous files under any of these topics, instead of counting their documents, a more general description will be fine at this stage--i.e., "45 boxes of unindexed documents, each box measuring 36 x 18 inches or "75 linear feet of documents stored in file folders."
  - -- the approximate cost of a search of such records by topic, including photocopying costs; \*/ and
  - -- a ballpark guess of the amount of time required to review, sanitize and coordinate such documents by topic.\*\*/

<sup>\*/</sup> This information should be provided by topic; i.e.,
"estimated time required to search files is 37 STATINTL
hours"; "estimated time required to search B team files is
60 hours," etc.

<sup>\*\*/</sup> This information is also required on a topic-by-topic basis. For example, statements such as "cost of searching and photocopying Salt I files is estimated to be \$400-\$500," "cost of searching and photocopying Watergate files is estimated to be \$1,500," cost of searching and photocopying MEAP files is \$150."

			• •
	It is important that I have	these estimates by topi	c, that
	ie giving an itemized brea	kdown of the volume, cos	t of
	the search and search time	for documents on a categ	ory-
	by-category basis. In this	way, it may be possible	that X
	or a search or all these to	balk at paying for the	COSES .
	narrow his request to a par	ticular topic or topics.	
	In addition, this informati	on will assist me in my	efforts i
	to persuade the court that	any search of these reco	rds is
	not reasonable and, hence,	should not be undertaken	28 2 2 8 m
	by CIA. In addition, the	employee or employees in	each
	component who will be making	ng this investigation for	me or
	records filed under the sevalso provide me with an est	en topics indicated above	of records
	under each topic that are	ndexed in such a way tha	t documents
STATINTL	relating to co	ould be easily identified	by
	reference to the index. In	the absence of any comm	en <b>ts</b>
	regarding such indexing. I	will assume that these r	ecords
	are not indexed in such det	ail. This bit of inform	ation
STATINTL	is important to know for th	he simple reason that it	relates
	to the "reasonableness" of counsel. A search of unincounsel.	the request made by	D+-DV-
	document basis is, at least	exed records on a docume in my mind. clearly unr	easonable.
	Accordingly, the extent of	any indexing of these re	cords is
	relevant to CIA's case and	should be provided to me	
	6. I will need all the memorandum on or before Approach that has been set, you show on this case that I will need the support in order to make the	<pre>ild advise everyone who i ed their prompt and comp</pre>	status s working lete
	as possible.		
STATINTL	. 7 If anyone who has	been working on this lit	igation I
	7. If anyone who has has any questions about the	information that will h	e required
-			
	black. I know that, for so	ome employees who have be	en working
	black. I know that, for so diligently on the	case, these requests for	information
	to note, hwoever, that with the risk is increased that	nout this type of details	Rearch
	these records by the Federa	al District Court.	1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	these records by the reder	Court of the court	
-			
	•		
	$\frac{\lambda_{p}}{2\pi} = \frac{\lambda_{p}}{C}$		
	Agrantia de la companya della companya della companya de la companya de la companya della compan		
	STATINTL		